THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

ACTIONS FOR WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2005, AT 2:00 P.M.

COUNCIL CHAMBERS (12TH FLOOR), CITY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING 202 C STREET, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

For information, contact Dan Coffer, Council Committee Consultant 202 C Street, 3rd Floor, San Diego, CA 92101 Email: dcoffer@sandiego.gov 619-533-3980

COUNCIL COMMENT:

ACTION: None.

NON-AGENDA PUBLIC COMMENT

ACTION: None.

ADOPTION AGENDA

ITEM-1: Report from the City Manager regarding the FUTURE OF THE COMMUNITY

AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AND

REDEVELOPMENT DIVISION.

(See CMR 05-197)

ACTION: Report accepted with direction to staff to form a working group comprised of CCDC and SEDC staff and report back to Committee with Redevelopment structure options.

ITEM-2: Report from the City Manager regarding COMMISSION ON GANG

PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION.

(See CMR 05-197; the City of Los Angeles, Department of Urban Affairs December 2004 report; Councilmember Young's July 21, 2005, article "The Gang Problem in San Diego;" Council Policy 000-13)

ACTIONS

Committee on Public Safety & Neighborhood Services September 21, 2005

- 2 -

ACTION: Motion by Councilmember Young, second by Deputy Mayor Atkins to approve the City Manager's recommendations with direction that this item be docketed before the full City Council as soon as possible.

VOTE: 3-0; Madaffer-yea, Atkins-yea, Young-yea

ITEM-3: REQUEST TO HEAR AN APPEAL relative to the hearing officer's decision re: Alarm Permit Revocation.

(See Dan Coffer's August 31, 2005, letter; Nick Pattah's August 11, 2005, letter; Scott Fulkerson's August 8, 2005, letter; backup provided from the Citizen's Review Board Office as follows: Scott Fulkerson's August 8, 2005, letter; Hearing Officer's August 4, 2005, decision; Scott Fulkerson's July 14, 2005, letter, with proof of receipt; Nick Pattah's June 30, 2005, letter; Patty Margetts' July 27, 2005, letter; Honeywell Security Service June 30, 2005, report)

ACTION: Motion by Deputy Mayor Atkins, second by Councilmember Young to deny the request to hear the appeal based on the following grounds:

- 1) Permittee was given proper notice of the hearing and allowed to testify without limitation at the Administration Hearing.
- 2) No new evidence is available that is relevant to the issue that was not available at the time of the Hearing Officer's hearing.
- 3) The Hearing Officer's decision was not arbitrary.
- 4) The evidence presented to the Hearing Officer supports the decision of the Hearing Officer.
- 5) The issue does not present a citywide issue in this particular case based on the guidance of the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee.
- 6) The matter is not of citywide significance.
- 7) The Hearing Officer's decision is not in conflict with the adopted council Policy 000-11 or Municipal Code Section 33.0505(d) outlined by the City Attorney.

VOTE: 3-0; Madaffer-yea, Atkins-yea, Young-yea

Jim Madaffer Chair